

008 – Address Line 1: Required for this Record Type

Example from SL file

```
"1", "14516782412", "14599801786", "", "", "", "20210118", "20781231", "", "3430
OhioHealth Parkway 1st
Floor", "Columbus", "OH", "43202", "", "25", "6145665000", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "
0", "99", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "209B", "", "008"
```

As described in the previous example, Address Line 1 is required in the SL file for Individual Provider Locations (record type 1) and Group Locations (record type 2). The error is being served because the MCO has left the Address Line 1 field as NULL (see yellow highlighting) and entered data into Address Line 2 (a non-required field) instead.

To correct this record, the MCO would move the street address data to the Address Line 1 field and make the Address line 2 field NULL.

Example from FA File:

```
"4", "14540000343", "20220701", "20781231", "", "7", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "",
"", "", "", "", "", "0206699", "1386653541", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "02", "008"
```

From the FA File Spec:

MPN	#(7)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities. Medicaid Provider Number. FA records submitted for these facilities without MPN may still be accepted, but with a warning.
NPI	#(10)	4	No	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities. National Provider Identifier.
Name	@(100)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities. The name of the Inpatient Psychiatric Facility.
Address Line 1	@(50)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.
Address Line 2	@(50)	4	No	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.
City	@(30)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.
State	@(2)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.
Zip	#(5)	4	C	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.
Zip4	#(4)	4	No	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities.

For FA record type 4, Address data is required. This is for Inpatient Psychiatric facilities. For any other record type on the FA file (1, 2, or 3), address data is NOT required, because the facility will pull its address data from the corresponding Master file for that facility type.

“Name” of the facility is not required or else it would have gotten an error on that data field, first.

To correct the error on the FA file, the MCO would need to provide address data for this record.

010 – Age Limit High: Below Age Limit Low

Examples from SL file

"2", "42080635668", "42060314811", "", "", "1205412061", "20210908", "", "5875 Chantry Drive", "", "Columbus", "OH", "43232", "", "25", "6146263720", "", "Hope 4 2morrow Counseling & Treatment Center LLC", "5", "0", "", "0", "3", "0", "0", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "950|954", "", "010"

"2", "42080712235", "42060307977", "", "", "1396350187", "20221230", "", "13420 N Meridian Street", "Suite 400", "Carmel", "IN", "46032", "", "00", "3175737050", "", "Obstetrics & Gynecology of Indiana", "4", "0", "", "0", "3", "0", "0", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "010"

From the SL File Spec:

Panel Capacity	#(6)	1,2,3,4	C	Required if IsPCP = 1. Leave blank otherwise. PCP Group Locations must have Panel PCP Count > 0 to count as a PCP and have their capacity count on the PCH report.
Existing Patients Only	B(1)	1,2,3,4	C	Required if IsPCP = 1. 0=Accepting New Patients; 1=Not accepting new patients.
Genders Accepted	#(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1 = Male, 2 = Female, 3 = Both.
Age Limit Low	#(2)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. "0" if no low limit.
Age Limit High	#(2)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. "99" if no high limit.
Accept Newborns	B(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1=Accept, 0=Does Not Accept.

The error is being served because there is a '0' in both the Age Limit Low and Age Limit High fields. The error is on the Age Limit High field, because the '0' is a valid entry for Age Limit Low ("there is no low limit"). But, as you can see from the spec, a '0' is not a valid value for the 'high' limit when it has already been specified as the 'low' limit'

To correct this record, the MCO could leave the Age Limit High field blank, or they could put a '99' in that field.

(And while '0' is technically not below '0', the system cannot support both limits being set to '0'. Both limits could be left blank. In this application, a '0' is interpreted as a value; a blank is not.)

016 – City: Required for this Record Type

Example from SL file

"1", "14516804058", "14599802745", "", "", "", "20220701", "20781231", "300 Polaris Pkwy Ste 230", "", "0", "0", "43082", "", "00", "6145333354", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "207B|201", "", "016"

The MCO has provided an Address Line 1 and a ZIP code, but not a City or State. *To correct this record, the MCO would need to provide both the City and State information.* If they only entered a City, the next time through the process, the record would reject with an error about a missing State, since that field is required for Individual and Group Locations (record types 1 and 2).

019 – County Code: Invalid Format

Example from SL file

"1", "14516709098", "14599788653", "", "", "", "20160601", "20781231", "793 W State St", "", "Columbus", "OH", "43222", "1560", "99", "6142345244", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "720B|207", "", "019"

From the SL file Spec:

County Code	#(2)	1,2	C	See MCPN Appendices, tab C for list of valid codes.
Phone Number	#(10)	1,2,4	C	Integer only – must be 10 digits. Required if record type is 1,2,4.
Phone Extension	#(10)	1,2,3,4	No	Phone number Extension of location

A quick read of the County Codes tab in the MCPN Appendices shows that ‘00’ through ‘88’ are the only valid county codes. An out-of-state address should use a ‘00’ county code, but the address in this record should be using the code for Franklin county, which is ‘25’.

To correct this record, the MCO would resubmit the record with a valid county code.

NOTE: MAXIMUS does not validate whether there is a match between ZIP code and County code.

020 – County Code: Required for this Record Type

Examples from SL file

"1", "76180055731", "76170013503", "", "", "1306047022", "20220914", "", "12395 MCCracken Rd", "", "Garfield Heights", "OH", "44125", "", "", "2165876727", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "720", "", "020"

"1", "76180055732", "76170013503", "", "", "1306047022", "20220914", "", "4161 BRIDGEWATER PKWY", "", "Stow", "OH", "44224", "", "", "3308654644", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "720", "", "020"

To correct these records, the MCO would need to provide a valid county code in the field that is currently NULL.

024 – Existing Patients Only: Required for PCP

Examples from SL file

"1", "76160070938", "76140015630", "", "", "1659365740", "20130503", "", "730 W MARKET ST", "", "Lima", "OH", "45801", "", "02", "4192273361", "", "", "1", "1", "2000", "", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "209", "", "024"

"4", "76160075832", "76140016752", "", "76160002925", "1275541468", "20130703", "", "", "", "", "", "3307553311", "", "", "5", "1", "500", "", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "207B", "", "024"

From the SL File Spec:

Program Code	#(1)	1,2,3,4	Yes	The one-digit program code for a provider. 1 = Medicaid, 2 = deprecated, 3 = deprecated, 4 = ICDS/MyCare, 5 = Both, 7=OhioRISE
IsPCP	B(1)	1,2,3,4	C	1 if this is a PCP; 0 if not a PCP. Leave blank or 0 if: (Record Type = 3 or 4) AND (SL.GroupLocation or FA.HealthCenter IsPCP = 1). Otherwise required. As of 08/26/2021 – if an SL record is marked as PCP, then there must be at least one valid PCP specialty submitted that is also valid for the PCP MITS Provider Type(s) indicated on the linked PG record. See additional information under “MITS Specialties” field description. A list of valid PCP specialties by Provider type is included in the MCPN Appendices, tab G. The MCPN Appendices also list which Specialty codes are “PCP” Specialties.
Panel Capacity	#(6)	1,2,3,4	C	Required if IsPCP = 1. Leave blank otherwise. PCP Group Locations must have Panel PCP Count > 0 to count as a PCP and have their capacity count on the PCH report.
Existing Patients Only	B(1)	1,2,3,4	C	Required if IsPCP = 1. 0=Accepting New Patients; 1=Not accepting new patients.

In the first example, the PCP has a capacity of 2000. In the second example, the PCP has a capacity of “500”. But for both records, there is no data in the “Existing Patients Only” field, which is required when the provider is PCP (which both are, due to the “1” in the isPCP data field).

To correct these records, the MCO would need to put either a “0” or a “1” in the highlighted field that is empty

025 – First Name: Does not apply to this Record Type

Example from PG file

"2", "73120072623", "1563562", "", "1679525919", "", "20140101", "", "TRAVI
R", "", "THE CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION", "", "", "", "", "", "21", "", "", "02
5
"

"2", "73120076069", "0099342", "", "", "", "20170816", "", "LAB", "", "CEDAR RIDGE
BH SOLUTIONS LLC ODMHAS*", "", "", "", "", "", "95", "", "", "025"

From the PG File Spec:

Start Date	D(8)	1,2	Yes	MCO start date for the provider or Group.
End Date	D(8)	1,2	No	End of span. If a Provider or Group becomes eligible again, they will need a new Tracking Number. If End Date is earlier than Begin Date, record will be inactive.
First Name	@(50)	1	Yes	Individual provider first name
Middle Initial	@(1)	1	No	Leave blank for PCC or group.
Last Name	@(100)	1,2	Yes	Individual provider's last name or name of Group.

In the records above, there is data in the First Name field for a Group record. The Group's name is correctly listed in the Last Name field.

To correct these records, the MCO would remove the data from the First Name fields and resubmit.

026 – First Name: Required for this Record Type

Examples from PG file

"1", "14599773701", "0883076", "", "1376592667", "000001884", "20131201", "20781231",
", "", "DR. ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES,
INC.", "1", "", "", "", "14516761902", "76", "", "760", "026"

"1", "14599775330", "0276380", "", "1003306127", "", "20190404", "20781231", "", "", "G
RATEFUL CARE, INC.", "", "", "", "", "25", "", "250", "026"

"1", "14599793435", "0111802", "", "1982004321", "", "20141119", "20781231", "", "", "E
DMUNDS", "", "", "", "14516774540", "73", "", "650", "026"

In each of these examples, the PG record type is 1, which would indicate an Individual Provider. However, in each record, the only "name" data is listed in the Last Name field. The first two records may be an incorrect submission, as the names are for organizations, not individuals. These records should be submitted as Groups, not Individuals. In the third example, only the individual's Last Name is provided. A First Name is required for a PG record type 1.

To correct these records, the name of an individual provider would need to be submitted in the First and Last Name fields. (or, for the first two examples, the record type may need to be resubmitted as a '2')

027 – Full Time Equivalency: Does Not Apply to this Record Type

Example from SL file

"2", "73150018915", "73120001449", "", "", "1982714358", "20071201", "20200226", "207
5TH
ST", "", "RACINE", "OH", "45771", "", "53", "7409492683", "", "", "5", "0", "", "0", "3", "0
, "99", "", "", "", "0", "20", "", "", "", "", "", "027"

From the SL File Spec:

Genders Accepted	#(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1 = Male, 2 = Female, 3 = Both.
Age Limit Low	#(2)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. "0" if no low limit.
Age Limit High	#(2)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. "99" if no high limit.
Accept Newborns	B(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1=Accept, 0=Does Not Accept.
Accept Pregnant Woman	B(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1=Accept, 0=Does Not Accept.
Accept Family Members	B(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown. 1=Accept, 0=Does Not Accept.
Full time equivalency	#(1)	1,3,4	C	Required for specific Specialty Types. 1=Full Time, 2=Part Time.
Languages	#(2) ...	1,2	No	Languages spoken at a location. See MCPN Appendices, tab D.

A value for FTE (Full-time equivalency) is only required for a location pertaining to a provider, either an individual provider, a provider working at a health center, or a provider working at a group. In the above example, the record type is '2' (group location).

There are two issues here: The value submitted in the field is not a "1" or a "2" and a value should not be submitted at all for this record type.

To correct this record, the MCO would need to change the record so that the FTE field would be submitted as: "",

029 – Full Time Equivalency: Required for specified Specialty Type

Example from SL file

"1", "31530186380", "31510055587", "", "", "1013135854", "20170921", "20220215", "117
9 Columbus
Pike", "", "Delaware", "OH", "43015", "", "21", "7403622202", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3"
, "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "300", "", "029"

"1", "31530321410", "31510078792", "", "", "1053849299", "20201005", "20220215", "466
1 Sawmill Rd Ste 102", "", "Upper
Arlington", "OH", "43220", "", "25", "6145880678", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99"
, "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "300", "", "029"

To determine whether a specialty code requires submission of a value for full-time equivalency, MCOs may refer to Tab B0 "MITS Specialties" in the MCPN Appendices. These records are for Individual Provider locations with the "General Dentistry" specialty of "300".

To correct these records, an MCO must submit either a "1" or "2" in the highlighted field that is currently empty. MCOs should be aware that only Dental provider locations with a full-time status may be counted towards their county dental requirement.

030 – Gender Code: Does not apply to this Record Type

Examples from PG file

"2", "73120090246", "0968663", "", "1821041401", "", "20120131", "19000101", "", "", "C
AA HEALTH AND BH AT LISBON", "0", "", "", "", "", "12", "", "", "030"

"2", "73120090247", "0077813", "", "1508114893", "", "20120131", "19000101", "", "", "S
ALINEVILLE HEALTH CENTER", "0", "", "", "", "", "12", "", "", "030"

"2", "73120090248", "0077813", "", "1508114893", "", "20120131", "19000101", "", "", "C
AA HEALTH AND DENTALCTR AT MELHORN W MAIN ST
SITE", "0", "", "", "", "", "12", "", "", "030"

"2", "73120090267", "0323397", "", "1699121509", "", "20190307", "19000101", "", "", "T
RANSITIONS HOME HEALTH CARE & HOSPICE
INC", "0", "", "", "", "", "44", "", "", "030"

"2", "73120090272", "0324828", "", "1417402405", "", "20190320", "19000101", "", "", "H
HEALING HOME HEALTHCARE LLC", "0", "", "", "", "", "60", "", "", "030"

From the PG File Spec:

Last Name	@(100)	1,2	Yes	Individual provider's last name or name of Group.
Gender Code	#(1)	1	No	Gender of the provider. 1 = Male, 2 = Female

The Gender Code field only applies to individual providers. For Group providers the field should be left null. In these examples, a value of "0" was submitted, which is not an acceptable value for this field for any PG record type.

To correct these records, the MCO would need to submit a null in this field for Group providers. (In these examples, even though the records are being "termed", an error condition will still be reported).

034 – Group Location Tracking Number: Does not apply to this Record Type

Example from SL file

"2", "73150244679", "73120080872", "", "73120022807", "1750797163", "20180326", "202
00521", "11961 CHESTERDALE
RD", "", "CINCINNATI", "OH", "45246", "", "31", "5138641402", "", "", "5", "1", "500", "0"
, "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "034"

From the SL File Spec:

Field Name	Format (Max)	Applies to	Req	Description
Record type	#(1)	1,2,3,4	Yes	Indicates the type of record.
Tracking Number	#(11)	1,2,3,4	Yes	For this Service Location record.
Provider / Group Tracking Number	#(11)	1,2,3,4	Yes	Use Tracking Number from PG file. Provider or a Group that practices at this Service Location. Group cannot be linked to a Health Center.
Health Center Tracking Number	#(11)	3	C	Required for Health Center Locations. Use (Health Center) Tracking Number from FA file. Links the Provider specified under "Provider Tracking Number" to a Health Center.
GroupLocation Tracking Number	#(11)	4	C	Required for Provider Group Locations. Use (GroupLocation) Tracking Number from SL file. Links the Provider specified under "Provider Tracking Number" to a Group Location.

The Group Location Tracking Number field is only submitted for an SL Record Type 4 (Provider at a Group Location). In the example above, the MCO has submitted a tracking number in this field for a record type 2.

To correct this record, the MCO would need to remove the value from the Group Location tracking Number field. For Record type 2, the MCO would submit a Group's service location in the "Tracking Number" field and then the Group's Tracking # from the PG file in the Provider/Group Tracking Number field. The other two fields related to tracking numbers would be left null.

035 – Group Location Tracking Number: No matching Tracking Number

Examples from SL file (error related to SL record type 4)

```
"4", "14516705721", "14599782727", "", "14516706889", "", "20140101", "20781231", "",
"", "", "", "", "", "", "4192912126", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1",
"", "", "", "", "760 | 293B | 762", "", "035"
```

```
"4", "14516705741", "14599766646", "", "14516728474", "", "20140101", "20781231", "",
"", "", "", "", "", "", "6142936694", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1",
"", "", "", "", "202B | 339", "", "035"
```

This error is telling the MCO that the tracking numbers submitted as Group Location Tracking Numbers do not exist in the MCPN. Either they were never submitted, or they were submitted and have been rejected.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to look up these group location tracking numbers in the Tracking Number Research tool, to see if they had ever been submitted on the SL file. If so, then they would need to resolve any error conditions that prevented them from being accepted.

Often, a group location (SL Record type 2) is rejected because the Group Tracking number (from the PG file) has not been accepted. (Before the MCO can submit a group location tracking number, the group itself must be valid in the system). If this is the situation, then the reason for the group's rejection would need resolved first.

Other times, a group location record could be rejected because of incorrect field values being submitted. Some reasons a group location could be rejected include submitting an FTE for a group location (record type 2) or submitting capacity when the location is not for a PCP.

036 – Group Location Tracking Number: Required for this Record Type

Examples from SL file (error related to SL record type 4)

```
"4", "31530751353", "31510059008", "", "", "1467816157", "20220713", "", "", "", "", "",  
"", "", "", "2165359100", "", "", "5", "1", "500", "0", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "2", "", "",  
"", "", "207", "0", "036"
```

```
"4", "31530751672", "31510193284", "", "", "1841861655", "20220713", "", "", "", "", "",  
"", "", "", "2165359100", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "18", "99", "", "", "", "2", "", "",  
"", "651", "", "036"
```

MAXIMUS will serve the '036' error when a group location tracking number is not submitted in the SL record type 4. This field is required for SL record type 4. In the examples above, the field where that data should be, is empty.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need submit a valid group location tracking for the record. This information will tell us at which group location this provider is working (since record type 4 is submitted for a provider that is working at a group).

037 – Health Center Tracking Number: Does not apply to this Record Type

Example from SL File

```
"1", "31530111911", "31510029502", "31520002565", "", "1386607646", "20211111", "", "  
100 River Valley Blvd", "", "New  
Richmond", "OH", "45157", "", "13", "5135533114", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99",  
"", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "", "207", "", "037"
```

```
"1", "31530116518", "31510018790", "31520000282", "", "1710216197", "20211111", "", "  
1401 Steffen  
Ave", "", "Cincinnati", "OH", "45215", "", "31", "5135883623", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3  
", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "300", "", "037"
```

The Health Center Tracking Number field is only submitted for an SL Record Type 3 (Provider at a Health Center). In the example above, the MCO has submitted a tracking number in this field for a record type 1.

To correct this record, the MCO would need to remove the value from Health Center Tracking Number field. For Record type 1, the MCO would submit an Individual providers' service location in the "Tracking Number" field and then the provider's tracking # from the PG file in the Provider/Group Tracking Number field. The other two fields related to tracking numbers would be left null.

038 – Health Center Tracking Number: No matching Tracking Number

Examples from SL file (error related to SL record type 3)

```
"3", "31530189641", "31510056444", "31520000258", "", "1760770507", "20211112", "", "  
", "", "", "", "", "", "5137532820", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1  
", "", "", "", "300", "", "038"
```

"3", "31530230724", "31510064774", "31520001578", "", "1790290815", "20210623", "", "", "", "", "", "", "4197853827", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "720", "", "038"

This error is telling the MCO that the tracking numbers submitted as Health Center Tracking Numbers do not exist in the MCPN. Either they were never submitted, or they were submitted and have been rejected.

If a health center record is rejected on the FA file, then any time its FA tracking number is referenced in a linked SL record type 3 (Provider at a Health Center) that SL record will get rejected with an '038' error. Error codes that could cause the rejection of a health center record are: 094, 112, 114, 115, and 116.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to look up these health center tracking numbers in the Tracking Number Research tool, to see if they had ever been submitted on the FA file. And if so, then they would need to resolve any error conditions that prevented them from being accepted.

Often, a health center tracking number (FA Record type 2) is rejected because there was a different tracking number already submitted for it. Or perhaps the health center number is no longer valid in the MCPN. Or maybe one of the required fields for a health center was not submitted, such as a PCP Indicator or Capacity (if a PCP).

The MCO would need to research the situation and correct any issues regarding the health center tracking number that is causing the error code to be returned,

039 – Health Center Tracking Number: Required for this Record Type

Examples from SL file (error related to SL record type 3)

"3", "14546652971", "14599821375", "", "", "20220701", "20781231", "", "", "", "", "", "", "7", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "1", "", "", "543B", "", "039"

"3", "14546653024", "14599772018", "", "", "20220701", "20781231", "", "", "", "", "", "", "7", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "1", "", "", "960B", "", "039"

MAXIMUS will serve the '039' error on a service location record when no FA Tracking Number is submitted for the "Provider at a Health Center". (Field is blank). When there are issues with a Group Location (SL record type 2) or Health Center Location (FA record type 2) there will be an impact to SL record type 3 (Provider at health center location) or SL record type 4 (Provider at Group location). So, if you see 035, 036, 038 or 039 errors on the SL file, you will know that they are being caused by issues with a linked record.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need submit a valid health center tracking for the record. This information will tell us at which health center this provider is working (since record type 3 is submitted for a provider that is working at a health center.

043 – IsPCP: Does not apply to this Record Type

Examples from SL file

```
"1","14546655900","14599842482","","","","","20220916","20781231","150 CROSS  
ST","","Akron","OH","44311","1026","77","3302539388","","","7","1","500","1",  
"3","1","","","","","1","20","","","","","207B","","043"
```

```
"1","14546655909","14599842483","","","","","20220916","20781231","150 CROSS  
ST","","Akron","OH","44311","1026","77","3302539388","","","7","1","500","1",  
"3","1","","","","","1","20","","","","","207B|213B","","043"
```

In this example, the error code is being served because the records are being submitted to the OhioRISE program, and PCPs are not submitted to this program.

This error could also be served on the FA file for record types 1 (Hospital), 3 (Nursing Facility) or 4 (Inpatient Psychiatric facilities). The only record type on the FA that is allowed to be a PCP is record type 2 (Health Center).

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to remove the PCP indicator and capacity for any records submitted to the OhioRISE program (program code=7)

044 – IsPCP: Invalid Format

MAXIMUS has no examples of this error in the archives; it may be served if an alphabetic character (or single digit > 1) were submitted in the data field. A null or space is permitted.

045 – IsPCP: Location And Provider at the location cannot both be IsPCP = 1

Examples from SL file (submitted on 11/10/2022)

```
"3","42080548991","42060269486","42010010436","","1699770339","20210205","","",  
"","","","","","9374440035","","All About Kids Pediatrics  
LLC","5","1","0","0","3","0","18","1","","","1","","","","215B","","045"  
"3","42080549376","42060273599","42010010436","","1396119939","20210205","","",  
"","","","","","9374440035","","All About Kids Pediatrics  
LLC","5","1","0","0","3","0","18","1","","","1","","","","720B","","045"
```

Here is the (PCP) Health Center record from the FA File: (submitted on 11/2/2022)

```
"2","42010010436","20211230","","0873","5","1","2000","0","3","0","99","","",  
"","20","All About Kids Pediatrics  
LLC","","2","","050","","","","","","","","","","614"
```

In this example, the error code 045 is being served because providers working at a health center are being submitted as PCPs when the Health Center itself has been submitted as a PCP. (When the Health Center was originally submitted, no providers had been submitted yet, which is why it got the **614 warning: isPCP: Health Centers marked as PCPs require active Providers**)

To correct this error, the MCO would need to decide where they wanted to put the PCP indicator – on the Health Center, or on the individual provider records. They could change the PCP indicators on the two SL records to “0” and

change the capacity to null. That would fix the situation for the SL file. (And, after the provider locations have been accepted, the warning code on the FA file would also be resolved.

046 – IsPCP: Required for this Record Type

This error code is associated with service locations (SL file).

Example (Group location)

```
"2", "14546648514", "14520003308", "", "", "", "20220701", "20781231", "8532 MENTOR  
AVE", "", "MENTOR", "OH", "44060", "", "43", "4402051008", "", "", "7", "", "", "", "", "  
", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", "021", "", "046"
```

The '046' is served when a location record is getting rejected because **no PCP indicator** has been submitted. In the example above, the system needs to know whether the group location is a PCP. It does not matter if other information related to PCPs (for example a capacity value) is submitted, the system must have the "isPCP" field defined as either a '0' (not a PCP) or '1' (is a PCP). In the record above, the "isPCP" field is null (empty).

Because the error is associated with a group, and there could be multiple providers working at the linked group location, each reference to the Group Location Tracking number (from the SL 2) in the Provider-Group Location records (SL 4's) will cause them to also get rejected.

- An '046' error on a Group Location (SL2) record will cause '035' errors to be generated on any linked Provider- Group location records (SL4s)

By fixing one record (an SL2), an MCO may be able to resolve multiple SL4 records.

Examples (Individual Providers)

```
"1", "19221009890", "19211001880", "", "", "1558554014", "20220201", "19010101", "262  
1 VICTORY  
PKWY", "", "CINCINNATI", "OH", "45206", "1754", "31", "5132214673", "", "", "1", "", "", "  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "046"  
"1", "19221015894", "19211001879", "", "", "1962849745", "20220201", "19010101", "300  
9 BURNET  
AVE", "", "CINCINNATI", "OH", "45219", "2419", "31", "5138728880", "", "", "1", "", "", "  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "046"
```

These are individual provider locations being submitted to the Medicaid program (program code 1, highlighted above), and the MCO needs to specify whether they are PCPs. Currently, no data is submitted in this field. It needs to be a "0" or a "1".

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to indicate if the provider works as a PCP at these locations, and if so, a value for capacity would also need to be submitted.

047 – IsPCP: This PCP location has providers that are PCPs linked to it

Example from FA file

```
"2", "73160000251", "20090424", "", "0421", "5", "1", "1956", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
", "", "", "26", "", "121", "", "", "ROCKING HORSE CENTER  
FQHC", "", "", "", "", "", "", "12", "9373241111", "", "", "047"
```

Since there are already providers at this health center who are marked as a PCP, the health center itself should not be marked as a PCP.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the health center record as NOT a PCP. (They still have not changed their submission; however, an earlier iteration of the health center record had been submitted as not being a PCP. This is why the provider records were able to be accepted as PCPs.)

Many times, error conditions are not immediately corrected on the response files by an MCO because they have a historical record in place for the facility or provider that is currently receiving an error.

048 – Languages: Does not apply to this Record Type

Examples from SL file

```
"4", "32500004058", "32500000359", "", "32500107290", "", "19000101", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
, "", "", "", "4198653111", "", "", "1", "1", "50", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "04|65",  
" ", "", "Languages spoken by this provider: Spanish", "207B", "", "048"  
"4", "32500003962", "32500000231", "", "32500098383", "", "19000101", "", "", "", "", ""  
, "", "", "", "4194795485", "", "", "1", "0", "", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "31", "", ""  
, "Languages spoken by this provider: Hindi", "203B|320B", "", "048"  
"4", "32500003963", "32500000724", "", "32500098384", "", "19000101", "", "", "", "", ""  
"  
, "", "", "", "4194795690", "", "", "1", "0", "", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "04|25|31"  
,  
", "", "", "Languages spoken by this provider: Arabic, French", "206B", "", "048"
```

According to the SL file spec, Languages are only submitted for Individual or Group provider locations Record types 1 or 2). They are not to be submitted for Record types 3 or 4. (The other field is a comments field submitted by the MCO; that field is not causing the error.)

Languages	#(2) ...	1,2	No	Languages spoken at a location. See MCPN Appendices, tab D.
DO NOT USE	@(4) ...	1,2,3,4	N/A	Field should be left empty (null). This field was formerly used (prior to 8/1/2018) to submit MCPN Specialties and the optional Board-Certified Indicator. Any SL record submitted with data in this field will be rejected with an error. MCOs should use the MITS Specialties field for data submission. (SL Recon files may still contain cross-walked MCPN Specialty codes for legacy purposes.)
24-hours / day availability	B(1)	1,2,3,4	No	Blank if unknown; 1 =available / open 24 hours a day at this location; 0 if not.
Comments	@(256)	1,2,3,4	No	Used for any location specific information to display to the consumer.
MITS Specialties	@(4) ...	1,2,3,4	C	3-digit MITS Specialty code(s) for this Service Location. Optional: if a Specialty is Board Certified, append letter "B" immediately after the 3-digit code. All specialties must be submitted with MITS codes.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the "Provider at Group Location" record(s) without the Language data. (They could leave data in the comments field). They should make sure that they have submitted the appropriate Language data in the Group Location record(s).

049 – Languages: Invalid Format

Example from SL file

"2","42080027895","42060026451","","","","","20141110","","","6900 Pearl Road","","Middleburg Heights","OH","44130","","18","4408456458","","","Southwest Urology LLC","5","0","","0","3","0","99","1","","","","","14|27|63|ZZ","","","","","","","049"

"2","42080027896","42060026451","","","","","20141110","","","970 E Washington Street","Suite 5C","Medina","OH","44256","","52","3307250600","","","Southwest Urology LLC","5","0","","0","3","0","99","1","","","","","63|ZZ","","","","","","","049"

"2","42080122805","42060026451","","","","","20160106","","","2475 E 22nd Street","Suite 120","Cleveland","OH","44115","","18","4408456548","","","Southwest Urology LLC","5","0","","0","3","0","99","1","","","","","14|27|63|ZZ","","","","","","","049"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the languages data without the "ZZ" which is not a valid format for the language data. The languages field requires numeric data.

#	Any numeric character (0-9)
---	-----------------------------

051 – Last Name: Required for this Record Type

Example from PG file

"2","42060326834","0203817","","","1467991752","","","20220401","","","","","","31","","","95","","","051"

"2","42060296123","2341719","","","1588619852","","","20210204","","","","","",""

" , "25" , " " , "84" , " " , " " , " 051"

From The PG File Spec:

Start Date	D(8)	1,2	Yes	MCO start date for the provider or Group.
End Date	D(8)	1,2	No	End of span. If a Provider or Group becomes eligible again, they will need a new Tracking Number. If End Date is earlier than Begin Date, record will be inactive.
First Name	@(50)	1	Yes	Individual provider first name
Middle Initial	@(1)	1	No	Leave blank for PCC or group.
Last Name	@(100)	1,2	Yes	Individual provider's last name or name of Group.

To correct this error, the MCO must resubmit the records with the name of the group(s) in the "Last Name" field.

056 – MPN: Already in use by another Record Type

This error applies to PG file, comparing an individual provider (record type 1) against a group provider (record type 2) and vice-versa.

Example from PG file

"1" , "31510209146" , "0403583" , " " , "1184175515" , "I.2002068" , "20200824" , " " , "Daniele" , " " , "Older" , " " , " " , " " , " " , "37" , " " , "370" , "056"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to research the prior submission of this MPN. In the PG file, if the error is on an Individual provider, it means that the MCO has submitted a Group record with this MPN. If the error is served on a Group provider, it means that the MCO has submitted an Individual provider report with this MPN. If the MCO wants to use a different tracking # for an MPN, then the former tracking # must be cancelled / deleted—Not simply end-dated.

Further research has revealed that this MPN was successfully submitted with a different tracking number (and with a different NPI). This record has been end-dated, but not cancelled, and this is why it is still creating a conflict.

"2" , "31510088313" , "0403583" , " " , "1730701319" , " " , "20200611" , "20210322" , " " , " " , "River Root Counseling" , " " , " " , " " , "76" , " " , "45" , " " , " " , " "

To cancel the above record, the MCO needs to resubmit it with an end date that is prior to the start date.

058 – MPN: Invalid Format

This error applies to PG file, looking for PG records submitted with an MPN with invalid formatting.

Example from PG file

"1" , "14540004212" , "565026" , " " , "1932161528" , "OPT.003758" , "20060303" , "20781231" , "David" , "N" , "Zech" , "1" , " " , " " , " " , "14570001613" , " " , " " , "350" , "058"

"1", "14540004213", "57330G", "", "1417923244", "OPT.005437", "20140729", "20781231",
,"Heidi", "L", "Lacey", "2", "", "", "", "14570001614", "", "", "350", "058"

The proper format for an MPN is seven numeric characters. In the two examples above, both MPNs have less than seven numeric characters, and one of them has an alphabetic character. To resolve this error, the MCO would need to look up the proper MPN (in the PMF Search tool) and resubmit the record with that MPN.

059 – Not Unique for Date Span

Applies to PG File. All individual providers (PG record type 1 only) submitted with an MPN whose date span overlaps the valid date span on another record of the **same type** with that **same MPN** will be rejected with this error. *(This MPN is already in use by a record of the same type).*

Example from PG file

"1", "31510209138", "2292835", "", "1912946146", "35.079874", "20221012", "", "Emmanuel", "V", "Rivera", "
", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "200", "059"

"1", "31510206613", "2292835", "", "1912946146", "35.079874", "20221012", "", "Emmanu
el", "V", "Rivera", "", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "239", "059"

This MCO is submitting the same provider (MPN) with two different tracking numbers. To resolve this error, the MCO would need to determine which tracking number to use for this provider (MPN) and cancel the other one.

061 – NPI: Already in use by another Record Type

This error applies to PG file, comparing an individual provider (record type 1) against a group provider (record type 2) and vice-versa.

Example from PG file

"1", "14599818077", "0415096", "", "9999999995", "", "20131201", "20781231", "LAREA", "", "BUFKIN", "2", ""
", "", "14516795941", "55", "", "260", "061"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to research the prior submission of this NPI. If the MCO wants to use a different tracking # for an NPI, then the former tracking # must be cancelled (deleted)—Not simply end-dated.

063 – NPI: Invalid Format

This error applies to PG file, looking for PG records submitted with an NPI with invalid formatting.

Example from PG file

"1", "31510190460", "0446909", "", "169938590", "026442", "20211021", "", "Corey", "",
"Bentley", "", "", "", "31530734687", "72", "", "720", "063"

The proper format for an NPI is ten numeric characters. In the example above, the NPI is nine characters. To resolve this error, the MCO would need to look up the proper NPI (in the PMF Search tool) and resubmit the record with that NPI.

064 – NPI: Not Unique for date span

Applies to PG File: All individual providers (PG record type 1 only) submitted with an NPI whose date span overlaps the valid date span on another record of the **same type** with that **same NPI** (basically, NPI: Already in use by a record of the same type) will be rejected with this error.

Example from PG file

```
"1", "31510209006", "0001941", "", "1790387934", "S.2208511", "20221228", "", "Karen",  
"E", "Schmalstig", "", "", "", "", "37", "", "371", "064"
```

This MCO is submitting the same NPI with two different tracking numbers (and different MPNs!). To resolve this error, the MCO would need to determine which MPN to use with this NPI and which tracking number to submit, and then cancel the other one.

```
"1", "31510094537", "0432712", "", "1790387934", "CDCA174247", "20210318", "20221228",  
"Karen", "E", "Schmalstig", "", "", "", "", "31530341457", "54", "", "543", "514"
```

514 – Warning – MPN: Not found in PMF

066 – Panel Capacity: Invalid Format

Example from SL file

```
"2", "76150004060", "20201015", "", "0767", "5", "1", "", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "",  
"", "", "", "", "066"
```

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the record with a valid capacity. If the capacity is zero, then a value of "0" may be submitted. Or the record may be resubmitted as not a PCP, and then the null value for capacity will be acceptable.

067 – Panel Capacity: Only PCPs have Panel Capacity

Example from SL file

```
"2", "73180077335", "73170035023", "", "", "", "20181114", "20221229", "17876 ST  
CLAIR AVE", "STE  
102", "CLEVELAND", "OH", "44110", "4411", "18", "2163835269", "", "", "5", "0", "0", "0",  
"3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", "220", "", "067"
```

This group location is submitted as "not a PCP." But it is submitted with a capacity value of zero. To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the record with a null value for capacity.

068 – Panel Capacity: Required for PCP

Example from SL file

"1", "31530841599", "31510052628", "", "", "1568753804", "20230103", "", "9500
Broadview Rd", "", "Broadview
Heights", "OH", "44147", "", "18", "4405963047", "", "", "5", "1", "", "0", "3", "0", "99",
"", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "", "720", "", "068"

"1", "31530841707", "31510209129", "", "", "1376521773", "20230102", "", "226
Greenmount
Blvd", "", "Oakwood", "OH", "45419", "", "57", "2036668145", "", "", "1", "1", "", "0", "3",
", "0", "99", "", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "", "209B", "", "068"

These individual providers were submitted as PCPs but without a value for capacity. A PCP must always have capacity. To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the PCP record with a value for capacity. This value may be "0".

072 – Phone Number: Invalid Format

Example from SL file

"4", "14516711340", "14599766224", "", "14516801604", "", "20160601", "20781231", "",
", "", "", "", "", "", "45209", "", "4", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "
", "", "240", "", "072"

From the SL file Spec:

Zip4	#(4)	1,2	No	
County Code	#(2)	1,2	C	See MCPN Appendices, tab C for list of valid codes.
Phone Number	#(10)	1,2, 4	C	Integer only – must be 10 digits. Required if record type is 1,2,4.
Phone Extension	#(10)	1,2,3 4	No	Phone number Extension of location

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the record with a valid phone number. Phone number is required for an SL Record type 4. data.

079 – Program code: Required For this Record Type

Example from FA file

"3", "73160001726", "20220429", "", "0514", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "",
", "", "", "", "", "860", "", "", "HILTY MEMORIAL
HOME", "", "", "", "", "", "69", "4193843218", "", "", "079"

Program code is entered just before the “isPCP” indicator on the FA and SL files. Currently, program code is not listed on the PG file. A provider or group (MPN) is entered once but can be associated with multiple programs via linkages to other files.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to resubmit the record with a valid program code. Program code is required data on the FA (and SL) file.

080 – Provider / Group Tracking Number: No Matching Tracking Number

This error, while it appears on the SL file, is related to issues with a PG File submission, record type 1 or 2.

This error can be obtained when:

1. A PG tracking number was not submitted.
2. A PG tracking number was submitted but rejected.
 - a. For example, any providers submitted without a primary MITS Provider Type
 - b. if an MCO also submits a location (SL record) for each of those providers, the locations would get rejected because of having no PG record to link back to.
3. A PG tracking number was submitted for a different record type than its location record.
 - a. PG submitted as a group (PG rec type 2), but SL submitted as individual location (SL rec type 1)
 - b. PG submitted as individual (PG rec type 1), but SL submitted as group location (SL rec type 2)

Example of third scenario:

On PG file, the provider tracking number is submitted as a group:

```
"2", "14599816138", "0663812", "", "1568427474", "", "20140601", "20781231", "", "", "D  
EBORAH FRITZ", "", "", "", "31", "", "20", "", "", ""
```

But on the SL file, the location is submitted as an individual location:

```
"1", "14516708908", "14599816138", "", "", "", "20160601", "20781231", "10550  
Montgomery Rd Ste  
23", "", "Cincinnati", "OH", "45242", "4422", "31", "5137911201", "", "", "4", "0", "", ""  
, "3", "0", "", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "209", "", "080"
```

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to research the PG tracking number to find out what happened when it was submitted. Often there is a PG error that must be resolved before an “080” error can be addressed.

081 – Provider / Group Tracking Number: Required for this record type

Self-explanatory. The individual Provider’s PG Tracking number was not submitted for the SL record type 3 (Provider at a Health Center).

Example from SL file:

"3", "29320816792", "", "29350001957", "", "1003329202", "20051001", "", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "121 | 123 | 129 | 4QE | 701", "", "081"

"3", "29320459109", "", "29350001905", "", "1063982924", "20051001", "", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "121 | 123 | 129 | 701 | 990", "", "081"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to research the PG tracking number to find out what happened when it was submitted (or if it was not submitted). Often there is a PG error that must be resolved before an "081" error can be addressed.

085 – MITS Specialties: Group Location cannot have specialties that require FTE:

Currently, the only specialties that require FTE are Dental, Vision, and Multi-Independent Licensure

Example from SL file: (Group locations)

"2", "14516728786", "14599816453", "", "", "", "20140601", "20781231", "1202 Linn St", "", "Cincinnati", "OH", "45203", "1300", "31", "5132337100", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", "300B | 760B | 762B", "", "085"

"2", "14516799502", "14599786678", "", "", "", "20200827", "20781231", "257165 WILSON ST", "", "COOLVILLE", "OH", "45723", "", "05", "7408460008", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", "300", "", "085"

Only individual providers can hold specialties that require FTE.

Here is a list of the specialties from the appendices that require FTE (as of 2/1/2023)

MITS Code	Specialty Description
300	General Dentistry
302	Endodontics
303	Oral Surgery
304	Orthodontics
305	Pediatric Dentistry
306	Periodontics
307	Prosthodontics
308	Other
329	Pediatric Ophthalmology
350	Optometry
399	Multi-Independent Licensure
555	Dual Licensed Dentist and Licensed MD/DO.

To resolve this error, since there is a group involved, the MCO would need to remove the specialties from the group location (SL Record type 2) and put them on the Provider-at-Group Location (SL Record type 4).

As of 2/1/2023, Dentists need to be full-time status to count on the Medicaid reports. For MyCare, they can still be part-time. Vision providers already must be full-time to count on reports. (And there are other requirements that

must be met for providers to count, such as a location must be indicated as a primary location, the location must be within the MCO's serviced region, etc.)

089 – Start Date: Required for this record type

Self-explanatory. A start date was not submitted for the record type. All record types require a start date.

Example from FA file:

```
"3","73160001726","","","0514","","","","","","","","","","","","","","","","","",  
","","","HILTY MEMORIAL HOME","","","","","","","","","69","4193843218","","","089"
```

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to submit a start date for this record.

091 – State: Invalid State Abbreviation

Self-explanatory. An invalid state abbreviation was submitted for the record type. According to file spec, it must be two alphabetic characters.

Example from SL file:

```
"1","31530248199","31510065417","","","1659810737","20190708","","50 N  
Progress  
Dr","","Xenia","93","45385","","00","9376752870","","","1","1","50","0","3","  
0","99","","","2","","","720","0","091"
```

City	@(30)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
State	@(2)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
Zip	#(5)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to submit the correct state abbreviation (OH) for this record.

092 – State: Required for this record type

Self-explanatory. A state abbreviation was not submitted for the record type.

Example from SL file:

```
"1","14516806084","14599771570","","","20220701","20781231","300 Polaris  
Pkw Ste  
230","","Westerville","","43082","","00","6145333354","","","4","0","","","3"  
,"0","99","","","1","20","","","720B|207","","092"
```

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to submit the correct state abbreviation (OH) for this record.

094 – Tracking Number: Already in use by another Record Type

This error is served when a tracking number is submitted that is already being used in the system for a different record type than it is now being submitted for.

A tracking number cannot be re-used for another record type, even if the record type it was formerly submitted for is now inactive. For validation purposes, rules pertain to both active and inactive records. This is because records

can become inactive for various reasons, many of which may be just temporary conditions (for example, a provider becomes inactive because the MPN is not currently active in the PMF, or a PCP group has become inactive because there are no active providers linked to it.).

If a group becomes inactive (for example, the date span for the group is termed), then any group locations associated with the group will become inactive, and any provider-group locations associated with the group locations will become inactive. As a result, if those provider-group locations are the only locations submitted for the individual providers, they, too, will become inactive.

MCOs may re-use tracking numbers on the same file, for the same record type, because the system will just replace them, one for the other. These would be considered as data updates for the tracking number. For example: a group location could be submitted with a new address (on the SL); an individual or group could have a name change (on the PG); or the MCO would like to designate a different primary specialty and primary specialty location for a provider (on the PG). A health center could be given a new active term or updated to having PCP status.

Example from SL file:

This record is taken from SL14520230103.response. The tracking number is being submitted as an individual provider location.

```
"1", "14516711711", "14599816521", "", "", "", "20131201", "20781231", "104 Erin Ct", "", "Hillsboro", "OH", "45133", "8591", "36", "7403697688", "", "", "4", "1", "50", "1", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "760 | 215 | 207 | 762", "", "094"
```

This record is taken from SL14520221220.response; this is when the tracking number was originally submitted and accepted. The tracking number is currently in the system for an Individual Provider at a Group Location.

```
"4", "14516711711", "14599816521", "", "14516803049", "", "20131201", "20781231", "", "", "", "", "", "7403697688", "", "", "4", "1", "50", "1", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1", "20", "760 | 215 | 207 | 762", "", ""
```

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to determine how they want to submit the provider. If they want the provider to have both an individual location AND a group location, they can have that, just not with the same location tracking number.

097 – Tracking Number: Required for this record type

Error is served when a required tracking number is not submitted. All MCPN file records require at least one tracking number to identify the provider, location, facility affiliation, etc. SL Records require a minimum of two for Individual and Group Locations, three tracking numbers are required for Individuals at Group or Health Center locations. The MCPN file specification shows where tracking numbers must be submitted.

Example from SL file: This is a group location record that is not assigning a tracking number to a specific group (from the PG file) at a specific address listed on service locations file. Both tracking numbers are missing.

```
"2", "", "", "", "", "1669669917", "20220201", "", "1 EAGLE VALLEY CT STE 101", "", "BROADVIEW HEIGHTS", "OH", "44147", "2982", "18", "3306704016", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "", "00", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "097"
```


To resolve this error, the MCO would need to submit the appropriate tracking numbers for this record.

099 – ZIP: Required for this record type

Examples from SL file: These individual provider locations do not have a ZIP code.

"1", "76163024452", "76170215709", "", "", "1306047022", "20221215", "", "12395
MCCRACKEN RD", "", "GARFIELD
HEIGHTS", "OH", "", "", "2165876727", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "2"
, "", "", "", "", "720", "", "099"

"1", "76163024453", "76170215709", "", "", "1306047022", "20221215", "", "4161
BRIDGEWATER
PKWY", "", "STOW", "OH", "", "", "3308654644", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "1"
, "", "1", "", "", "", "", "720", "", "099"

From the SL File Spec:

AddressLine1	@(100)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
AddressLine2	@(50)	1,2	No	
City	@(30)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
State	@(2)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
Zip	#(5)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
Zip4	#(4)	1,2	No	

To resolve these errors, the MCO would need to submit the appropriate ZIP codes for these records.

111 – Primary Specialty Tracking Number: Does Not Apply for this record type

Examples from PG file:

"2", "14543332377", "0060055", "", "1144589193", "", "20140601", "20230103", "", "", "L
OWER LIGHTS CHRISTIAN HEALTH CENTER, INC.", "", "", "", "", "0", "12", "", "", "111"
"2", "14580000000", "0051436", "", "1245510239", "", "20150303", "20230103", "", "", "W
AIVER- Health First Transportation", "", "", "", "", "0", "83", "", "", "111"

From the PG File Spec:

Primary Specialty Tracking Number	#(11)	1	C	Required if Primary MITS Specialty is submitted. Use Tracking Number from SL file to show which Service Location has the Individual Provider’s primary specialty listed. This will be used to report to ODM which County this Practitioner will count towards.
Primary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	Yes	This is the Primary MITS Provider Type. Field is Required. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type codes). PG records not submitted with the MITS Provider Type will be rejected with an error.

Data should only be submitted in this field for PG Record type 1 (Individual provider). The system is interpreting the “0” as a submitted value in this field when the record type is a ‘2’, and then serving the error.

To resolve these errors, the MCO would need to re-submit the records with null instead of a “0” in that field.

From FA File Spec:

Record type	#(1)	1,2,3,4	Yes	Indicates the type of record.
Tracking Number	#(11)	1,2,3,4	Yes	For this MCO Affiliation record.
Start Date	D(8)	1,2,3,4	Yes	Start date for hospital, health center, nursing facility, or inpatient psychiatric facility
End Date	D(8)	1,2,3,4	No	End of span. If hospital, health center, nursing facility, or inpatient psychiatric facility becomes eligible again they will need a new Tracking Number. If End Date is earlier than Start Date, record will be inactive.
Hospital/Health Center/Nursing Facility Number	#(4)	1,2,3	C	Required for hospital, health center, or nursing facility. Unique number for the hospital, health center or nursing facility – refer to the Master Hospital, Master Health Center, and Master Nursing Facility files. Leave blank for inpatient psychiatric facility.

112 – Hospital Health Center Nursing Facility Number: Required for this Record Type

When an MCO is submitting a record on the FA file, it must have the ‘HCN’ field populated. The ‘HCN’ is the unique 4-digit Hospital, Health Center or Nursing facility number from the facility master files. If this field is missing, then the record cannot be accepted because MAXIMUS does not know which facility the information is being submitted for. It is also important to use the correct FA record type and 4-digit number that pertains to the specific master file record being submitted, because the same 4-digit number can appear in all three master files.

Examples from FA file:

```
" 2", "14510013222", "20140601", "20781231", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "
", "20", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "112"
" 3", "14510012330", "20131201", "20781231", "", "4", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "
", "", "", "", "860", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "112"
```

These records are missing the 4-digit hospital, health center, or nursing facility number. This is a required field for these record types.

To resolve these errors, the MCO would need to re-submit the records with the appropriate H.C. and N.F. numbers.

114 – Hospital Health Center Nursing Facility Number: Cannot be Changed on an Existing Record

Example from FA file:

```
" 1", "14510013186", "20220301", "20781231", "0400", "4", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "114" "114"
```

This error is telling the MCO that that the **tracking number** they are submitting is **already in use for a different hospital number**. A specific facility (whether a hospital, health center, or nursing facility) may be associated with only one tracking number. *(If a hospital number could be changed on a tracking number, then the former hospital number would cease to exist in the system, so this cannot be permitted).*

The MCO could look up the tracking number in the Reconciliation Files to find out what hospital is currently associated with it. They could also look up the name or MPN in the Master Hospital File to find the actual hospital number.

To resolve the error, the MCO would need to re-submit hospital #0400 with a new (different) tracking number.

To resolve this error, if the MCO wants to submit the group location as a PCP they will need to resubmit any linked PCP providers (SL record type 4) as NOT a PCP. Also, they would need a valid PCP specialty submitted for this group location since 207 is an individually held specialty. If the group's Provider type is 21, then a valid PCP specialty would be 021, 990 or 991.

125 – County Code: County/State mismatch

Example from SL file:

```
"2","32500289464","32500276103","","","","19000101","","1946 Town Park  
Bldv","Ste  
110","Uniontown","OH","44685","","00","3303447200","","","1","0","","","",  
"","","","","","","","","","","","125"
```

This error is telling the MCO that while they are submitting this group location as belonging to the state of Ohio (The city and ZIP also support this), the county code indicates “out-of-state”).

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to look up the appropriate OH county for this group's street address and add it to the record. (ZIP code 44685 is primarily located in Summit County. Portions of 44685 are also located in Stark County).

129 – Program Code: 2 AND 3 no longer valid

Example from SL file:

```
"4","76160293485","76140064872","","76160231787","1477879286","20161216","","",
"","","","","","","","3307021281","","","2","0","","","","","","","","","1",
"","","","212","","129"
```

Before the MyCare program existed, all the Managed Care plans were in the Medicaid program, and a distinction was made between ABD (program code 2) and CFC (Now MAGI, program code 3). After MyCare began, those two program codes were deprecated and replaced by program code 1 (Medicaid). The only valid program codes for submitting providers to MCPN are 1, 4 (MyCare), 5 (Medicaid/MyCare – meaning that a provider serves both programs), and 7 (OhioRISE).

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to determine which program the provider is serving and submit the correct code for it. If the program is Medicaid, the program code =1.

Inactive records may contain deprecated codes, but if an MCO wants to reactivate any tracking numbers they would need to make sure to resubmit them with current codes.

130 – MITS Specialties: MYCARE Specialty not accepted on Medicaid Location

Example from SL file:

```
"1","31530191102","31510056860","","","1841524022","20180103","20190417","527
N Meridian
Rd","","Youngstown","OH","44509","","50","3307970070","","","1","0","","","3"
,"18","99","","","1","","","459","","130"
```

There is a subset of specialties that are valid only for the MyCare program, and they can only be used when the record is submitted for MyCare only (program code 4) or Medicaid/MyCare (program code 5). Most of these are waiver specialties. In this situation, 459 is “Community Transition” which is a waiver specialty. On Tab B0. (MITS Specialties) in the MCPN Appendices document, there is a column which indicates whether a specialty is MyCareonly.

B	C	D	E	F	G
MITS Code	Specialty Description	Hosp Req?	FTE Req?	isPCP?	MyCare Only?
422	Psychology Trainee				
423	Psychology Assistant				
424	Psychology Intern				
430	Audiology				
440	Hospice				
450	ODM WAIVER				Yes
451	ODM WAIVER SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSPORTATION				Yes
452	ODM WAIVER ADULT DAY HEALTH CENTER				Yes
453	ODM WAIVER ADAPTIVE/ASSISTIVE DEVICES				Yes
454	ODM WAIVER HOME MODIFICATIONS				Yes
455	ODM WAIVER HOME DELIVERED MEALS				Yes
456	ODM WAIVER OUT-OF-HOME RESPITE				Yes
457	ODM WAIVER EMERGENCY RESPONSE SERVICES				Yes
458	Community Integration				Yes
459	Community Transition				Yes

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to remove program code 1 from this record and resubmit this individual provider location to either program code 4 or 5.

131 – Program Code: Medicaid location cannot link to an MYCARE group location or health center

Example from SL file:

```
"4", "42080040126", "42060016786", "", "42080020344", "1184611287", "20141110", "20190213", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "3308841583", "", "", "1", "1", "50", "1", "3", "0", "99", "1", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "", "207B", "", "131"
```

In this example, provider-group location (42080040126) is being submitted for the Medicaid program (program code=1, highlighted in yellow, above).

The other two tracking numbers belong to the individual provider from a PG file (420610016786) and the group location from an SL file (42080020344). Since there is no program submitted on the PG file, the MCO would look up the SL tracking number in the tracking number research tool and find out when it was last accepted. Then they would check that file, to see what program code was submitted on it.

```
"2", "42080020344", "42060029372", "", "", "1013223312", "20141110", "20210208", "20 Ohltown Road", "Suite 202", "Austintown", "OH", "44515", "", "50", "3308841583", "", "Youngstown Ohio Physician Services Company LLC", "4", "0", "", "0", "3", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", "", ""
```

The group location was submitted to the MyCare-only program (program code 4) .

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to make sure that the same program codes are submitted for both records or resubmit the SL Record type 2 for program code 5 (indicating that this location can be for both MyCare and Medicaid). Then, it could be linked to SL record type 4's that are coded for program code 1, 4, or 5.

132 – MyCare Reporting County Code: Invalid Format

Example from PG file:

```
"2", "76140014212", "0969466", "", "1619959822", "", "20180330", "20190304", "", "", "SCH PROFESSIONAL CORP", "", "", "2", "", "21", "", "", "132"
```

From the PG file Spec:

Primary Specialty (DO NOT USE)/ MyCare Reporting County Code	@(3)/ #(2)	1/2	No	<p>The Primary Specialty field was formerly used (prior to 8/1/2018) to submit a MCPN Primary Specialty Code for Individual providers. Any PG record with Record Type 1 that is submitted with data in this field will be rejected with an error. MCOs should use the Primary MITS Specialty field for data submission of Individual providers. (PG Recon files may still contain cross-walked MCPN Primary Specialty codes in this field for legacy purposes).</p> <p>Medicaid Group records (type=2) will not use this data field. It applies only to MyCare Groups, for submitting a valid county code for one county within a MyCare region, to identify the region in which the group's distinct specialties should count on the MyCare Practitioner report.</p>
--	------------	-----	----	--

The proper format for the MyCare Reporting County Code is 2 numeric digits. In the example above, there is only one numeric digit.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to add a leading zero if the county were supposed to be "02" (instead of "2") when resubmitting the record.

133 – Phone Number is required for this Record type

Example from SL file:

"2", "31530118286", "31510043679", "", "", "", "20131115", "20180830", "PO Box 417", "", "Hartville", "OH", "44632", "", "67", "", "", "", "4", "0", "", "0", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "451", "0", "133"

From the SL file Spec:

Zip	#(5)	1,2	C	Required for Provider and Group Locations
Zip4	#(4)	1,2	No	
County Code	#(2)	1,2	C	See MCPN Appendices, tab C for list of valid codes.
Phone Number	#(10)	1,2, 4	C	Integer only – must be 10 digits. Required if record type is 1,2,4.
Phone Extension	#(10)	1,2,3,4	No	Phone number Extension of location

According to the file spec, Phone number is required for Individual, Group, and Provider-Group Locations. The example above is a Group Location.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to add phone number for this location when resubmitting the record.

137 – Provider Type: Primary MITS Provider Type must be submitted for this Record Type. The (MCPN) Provider Type field is no longer valid.

Example from PG file:

"1", "14540004130", "0402104", "", "1023485158", "OPT.006530", "20200501", "20781231", "Justin", "A", "Moyer", "1", "", "", "", "14570001784", "", "", "350", "137"

From the PG file Spec:

Primary Specialty Tracking Number	#(11)	1	C	Required if Primary MITS Specialty is submitted. Use Tracking Number from SL file to show which Service Location has the Individual Provider’s primary specialty listed. This will be used to report to ODM which County this Practitioner will count towards.
Primary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	Yes	This is the Primary MITS Provider Type. Field is Required. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type codes). PG records not submitted with the MITS Provider Type will be rejected with an error.
Secondary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	No	Secondary MITS Provider Type. Field is optional, but if submitted, it must be a valid MITS Provider Type code. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type Codes.) PG Records submitted with an invalid MITS Provider Type Code in this field will be rejected with an error.
Primary MITS Specialty	@(3)	1	No	Primary MITS Specialty of this individual provider. Submit code if specialty is “reportable” on Practitioner reports. The submitted code must be a valid MITS specialty that is appropriate for the submitted Primary MITS Provider Type. The MITS code will also be crosswalked to a corresponding MCPN code for reporting purposes, as the Practitioner reports are still based on MCPN codes. (Refer to the Appendices for lists of valid MITS codes, “reportable” MCPN specialties and categories, valid MITS codes for each provider type, as well as error messages and warnings.)

Data does not need to be submitted in the old “MCPN Provider Type” field (now marked “DO NOT USE”) to receive the “137” error. In the example above, that field is null. The error is being served because the Primary MITS Provider Type field (which immediately follows the Primary Specialty Tracking Number field) is empty.

Example from PG file:

"1", "14540004130", "0402104", "", "1023485158", "OPT.006530", "20200501", "20781231", "Justin", "A", "Moyer", "1", "", "", "", "14570001784", "", "", "350", "137"

From the PG file Spec:

Primary Specialty Tracking Number	#(11)	1	C	Required if Primary MITS Specialty is submitted. Use Tracking Number from SL file to show which Service Location has the Individual Provider’s primary specialty listed. This will be used to report to ODM which County this Practitioner will count towards.
Primary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	Yes	This is the Primary MITS Provider Type. Field is Required. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type codes). PG records not submitted with the MITS Provider Type will be rejected with an error.
Secondary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	No	Secondary MITS Provider Type. Field is optional, but if submitted, it must be a valid MITS Provider Type code. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type Codes.) PG Records submitted with an invalid MITS Provider Type Code in this field will be rejected with an error.
Primary MITS Specialty	@(3)	1	No	Primary MITS Specialty of this individual provider. Submit code if specialty is “reportable” on Practitioner reports. The submitted code must be a valid MITS specialty that is appropriate for the submitted Primary MITS Provider Type. The MITS code will also be crosswalked to a corresponding MCPN code for reporting purposes, as the Practitioner reports are still based on MCPN codes. (Refer to the Appendices for lists of valid MITS codes, “reportable” MCPN specialties and categories, valid MITS codes for each provider type, as well as error messages and warnings.)

Data does not need to be submitted in the old “MCPN Provider Type” field (now marked “DO NOT USE”) to receive the “137” error. In the example above, that field is null. The error is being served because the Primary MITS Provider Type field (which immediately follows the Primary Specialty Tracking Number field) is empty.

First Name	@(50)	1	Yes	Individual provider first name
Middle Initial	@(1)	1	No	Leave blank for PCC or group.
Last Name	@(100)	1,2	Yes	Individual provider’s last name or name of Group.
Gender Code	#(1)	1	No	Gender of the provider. 1 = Male, 2 = Female
DO NOT USE	@(2)	1,2	N/A	Field should be left empty (null). This field was formerly used (prior to 8/1/2018) to submit MCPN Provider Type. Any PG record submitted with data in this field will be rejected with an error. MCOs should use the Primary MITS Provider Type field for data submission. (PG Recon files will still contain cross-walked MCPN Provider Type codes for legacy purposes.)

A PG record must always be submitted with a Primary MITS Provider Type, or it will be rejected. Whenever PG records are rejected, this will cause downstream errors in the SL file. An Individual or Group Location will be rejected (SL Record type 1 or 2, depending on which PG Record type is rejected) because you cannot submit a location for a Provider or Group that does not exist in the system). An SL Record type 3 (“Individual Provider at a Health Center”) will be rejected if the linked PG Record type 1 (“Individual Provider”) tracking number was not accepted. Same with an SL Record type 4 (“Individual Provider at a Group Location”). You could also have an SL Record type 4 rejected because of a related Group record being rejected (causing the Group Location to also be rejected).

A “137” error in the PG file will often result in SL File Errors “035” (Group Location Tracking Number: No Matching Tracking Number) or “080” (Provider/Group Tracking Number: No Matching Tracking Number) errors

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to add the primary MITS Provider type when resubmitting the PG record.

138 – Primary MITS Provider Type: Provider/Group submitted with invalid MITS Code.

Example from PG file:

```
"1", "19211039104", "2462044", "", "1609092998", "35083625R", "20220201", "", "SAHAJA",  
"S", "REDDY", "2", "", "", "", "", "MD", "", "213", "138"
```

In this example, “MD” is not a valid MITS Provider Type. A list of valid MITS Provider Type codes may be found in Tab A3 (MCPNtoMITSProvTypeCrosswalk) of the MCPN Appendices document.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to enter a valid primary MITS Provider type when resubmitting the PG record. Based on the primary specialty being submitted, the valid MITS Provider type code is most likely to be “20” (Physician/Osteopath Individual).

139 – Secondary MITS Provider Type: Provider/Group submitted with invalid MITS Code.

Examples from PG file:

```
"1", "32500195140", "2283363", "", "1104896711", "35.079470", "20160209", "", "Kewa",  
"Li", "2", "", "", "", "32500195203", "20", "4", "209", "139"
```

```
"1", "32500266847", "0258833", "", "1033140785", "65.000004", "20181220", "", "Shixi",  
"Chen", "2", "", "", "", "32500266909", "50", "5", "023", "139"
```

In these examples, the Secondary MITS Provider Type is not valid because it is only one character. A valid MITS Provider Type code is a minimum of 2 characters in length. A list of valid MITS Provider Type codes may be found in Tab A3 (MCPNtoMITSProvTypeCrosswalk) of the MCPN Appendices document.

To resolve these errors, the MCO would need to enter a valid Secondary MITS Provider type code when resubmitting each of the PG records or remove the single-digit data that is currently entered in the Secondary MITS Provider Type field those records.

141 – Primary Specialty: The (MCPN) Primary Specialty field is no longer valid.

Examples from PG file:

```
"1", "76140053692", "0515599", "", "1215932504", "30.016634", "19000102", "19000101",  
"Stephen", "", "Shall", "1", "04", "", "084", "76160183632", "", "", "", "141"
```

```
"1", "76140027991", "2488188", "", "1356399794", "30.021969", "19000102", "19000101",  
"Amber", "N", "Wright", "2", "04", "", "045", "76160046432", "", "", "", "141"
```

In both examples, The Primary Specialty is being submitted in the wrong field position. This data should be submitted in the last position of each record (currently null, highlighted in yellow). Additionally, the Provider Type field (highlighted in aqua) is being submitted in the wrong field position. These older records had been accepted into the MCPN before the two data field positions were changed, and they are now being cancelled (indicated by the date field highlighted in gray).

In this situation, because the MCO is cancelling these records (by submitting an end date prior to the start date) the records do not need to be corrected before the cancellation can be accepted. However, the error codes will be stored with the records. If the MCO wanted to fix these records and resubmit them, they would need to enter the Primary MITS Specialty and Primary MITS Provider Type in the correct data field positions.

142 – MPN: Field is Required and cannot be left blank

As of 2/1/2023, all Individual and Group Providers submitted on the PG file (and In-Patient Psychiatric Facilities submitted on the FA file) must include an MPN or they will be rejected. Previously, these records were accepted with the '622' warning (now deprecated.)

Example from PG file:

"1", "14599764598", "", "", "1639439482", "", "20190101", "20781231", "JAMIE", "", "HAL
LER", "2", "", "", "", "14516796208", "", "", "370", "142"

To correct this error, the MCO would look up the provider's MPN in the PMF Search tool (using other data such as NPI or name) and add it to the record before resubmitting. If the provider cannot be found in the PMF then the record should be removed from the submission file.

The '142' error will supersede all error and warning codes listed in the table below. MCOs should not see these codes on response files produced after 2/1/2023.

Code	Error/Warning Message	Status	Notes	Maximus Notes
074	PRN: Invalid Format	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
075	PRN: MPN and PRN cannot both be specified	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
076	PRN: No MPN or PRN specified	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
105	MPN: Known incorrect MPN	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
106	PRN: Known incorrect PRN	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
123	PRN: MPN or PRN is required for Groups that are PCPs Or in the MyCare Program at any location	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
143	PRN: Provider/Group must not be submitted with PRN	Not Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
515	Warning: PRN: Not found in PMF	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
622	Warning: MPN: Field is required and cannot be left blank.	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	
623	Warning: PRN: Provider/Group must not be submitted with PRN.	Active	As of 02/01/2023, requires MPN.	

146 – Specialties: Location submitted with Invalid MITS Specialty Codes

This error is served on the **SL file** when a MITS specialty code (that does not exist in our approved list of specialties) is submitted in the MITS Specialties data field.

Example:

"4", "29320819916", "29310074647", "", "29320818492", "1003249277", "20051001", "", "
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "7403497511", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "
", "", "", "", "370 | 54I", "", "146"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to remove any invalid specialty codes before resubmitting the record. If a rejected specialty code is valid in MITS, then ODM would submit a Client Request to MAXIMUS to have it added to the list of valid codes in the system. (Note: 54I is a valid code in MITS, but it has not yet been added to MCPN.)

147 – Specialties: The (MCPN) Specialties and Board-Certified field is no longer valid.

This error is served on the **SL file** when data is submitted in the data field marked “DO NOT USE” that is listed between “Languages” and “24-hours/day availability” in the SL file spec.

Example:

"2", "76160004063", "76140024013", "", "", "1487696803", "20130101", "20121231", "235 W LIBERTY ST", "", "WOOSTER", "OH", "44691", "", "85", "3302029081", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "035", "", "", "760", "", "147"

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to remove the “035” and resubmit the record. (“035” was the old MCPN specialty code for “DME Supplier”. The correct (MITS) specialty code is “760” and it is being submitted in the correct field position, so this is a situation where both codes have been submitted. Only the MITS code should be submitted.

151 – Specialties: Nursing Facility submitted with Invalid MITS Specialty Code(s).

Example from the **FA file**:

"3", "14510012308", "20140721", "20781231", "0814", "4", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "860B", "452B", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "151"

Specialty code 452 (ODM WAIVER ADULT DAY HEALTH CENTER) is not valid for Provider Type 86. Tab F (ValidSpecialtiesbyProvType) in the MCPN Appendices shows which specialties may be submitted for a Nursing Facility.

To resolve this error, the MCO would need to remove the “452B” and resubmit the record.

List of valid specialties for Nursing Facilities

MITS Specialty Description	MITS Specialty Code	MITS Provider Type	MITS Prov Type Code
ODM WAIVER OUT-OF-HOME RESPITE	456	Nursing Facility	86
ODA WAIVER	480	Nursing Facility	86
TDD WAIVER LIMITED	491	Nursing Facility	86
Dual Certified Skilled Nursing Facility	860	Nursing Facility	86
Dual Certified Pediatric Nursing Facility Outlier	861	Nursing Facility	86
NF VENT DEPENDENT 1	862	Nursing Facility	86
Dual Certified Nursing Facility Acquired Brain Injury Outlier	863	Nursing Facility	86
NF VENT DEPENDENT 2	864	Nursing Facility	86
Dual Certified Religious Non-medical Health Care Institution	865	Nursing Facility	86
NF ALTERNATIVE REHAB	866	Nursing Facility	86
NF VENT WEANING 1	867	Nursing Facility	86
NF VENT WEANING 2	868	Nursing Facility	86
Medicaid Only Nursing Facility	870	Nursing Facility	86
Medicaid Only Religious Non-Medical Healthcare Institution	875	Nursing Facility	86
QUALIFIED ENTITY	4QE	Nursing Facility	86
HCIC-I	86I	Nursing Facility	86
HCIC-Q	86Q	Nursing Facility	86

152 – Specialties: MITS Specialties must be submitted for this Record Type. The MCPN Specialties field is no longer valid.

"1", "73170026383", "0344781", "", "1982162269", "APRN.CNP.024079", "20141001", "", "STEDMAN", "E", "HUGHES", "1", "", "", "", "73180071079", "20", "", "720", "174"

This provider has only one location in the MCPN, and it was submitted as a PCP location. The specialty (720) is valid for a PCP; however, it is not valid for a provider type of 20.

The location also has a 632 warning, indicating that “One or more specialties at this location is not valid for the linked provider/group’s Provider Type(s).”

"4", "73180071079", "73170026383", "", "73180033253", "", "20190518", "", "", "", "", "", "5138963000", "", "", "5", "1", "50", "0", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "720", "0", "632"

To resolve these errors, the MCO would first look up the MPN in the PMF to confirm what the valid provider type is. As it turns out, this individual is a Nurse Practitioner, which is provider type 72.

			Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
Review	Admin	Update	STEDMAN E HUGHES	Nurse Practitioner Individual	580133154	1982162269	Conversion	Unassigned	Family Practice	339203	0344781	ACTIVE	ACTIVE

The MCO would then resubmit the PG record with a provider type of 72 (Nurse Practitioner). This will correct both the ‘174’ error on the PG record and the ‘632’ warning on SL record.

In this next example of a 174 error, the provider type is a dentist. A dental location should never be submitted as a PCP. General Dentistry (300) is not a PCP specialty, even though the specialty code is valid for a dentist.

"1", "31510045903", "0089000", "", "1790042976", "30.023906", "20220208", "", "Sean", "B", "Abidin", "", "", "", "31530698559", "30", "", "300", "174"

To resolve this error, the MCO would check all the linked locations to find any that have been submitted as a PCP. Those locations should be resubmitted as NOT a PCP.

When resolving the ‘174’ error, sometimes it is necessary to update the PG record and other times it is necessary to update the SL record.

Even though a provider may have a valid provider type for a PCP (such as a physician), if the MCO has marked them as a PCP at even one linked location where they do not have a PCP specialty, this error could be served.

The ‘174’ error may not be related to the primary specialty, or the location listed in the PG record.

175 – IsPCP: This Location cannot be accepted as a PCP because there are no valid PCP specialties for the linked MITS Provider Type.

Example: Location submitted as a PCP with capacity of 25.

The provider has been submitted as a Nurse Midwife, with the specialty code for a Nurse Midwife. The linked location is a health center, and the specialty listed for her is 212 which is Obstetrics & Gynecology. This specialty can only be held by a Physician/Osteopath (Provider type 20) or a Nurse Practitioner (provider type 72).

PG:

"1", "31510007415", "2596516", "", "1487736047", "RN.310798", "20220913", "", "Eva", "M", "Fried", "", "", "", "31530768030", "71", "", "710", "174"

SL:

"3", "31530619682", "31510007415", "31520002548", "", "1487736047", "20210902", "", "", "", "", "", "9372816800", "", "", "1", "1", "25", "0", "2", "0", "99", "", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "212", "0", "175"

To correct this error, the MCO could change the SL record to not be marked as a PCP (and remove the capacity), and then submit 710 as the specialty at that location.

However, the PMF shows this provider is a Nurse Practitioner.

Review	Admin	Update	Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
			EVA M FRIED	Nurse Practitioner Individual	360728732	1487736047	Conversion	Unassigned	Obstetrics & Gynecology	91235	2596516	ACTIVE	REACTIVATED- SEE COMMENTS

The best way to correct this error would be to resubmit the PG record with the correct Provider type of 72. Since the primary specialty location is not the same as the Health Center location, the MCO would not need to submit 212 as the primary specialty on that PG record.

Here is another example of the 175 error on the SL file:

"1", "31530376633", "31510053407", "", "", "1891894408", "20210415", "20210520", "111 Harbert Dr", "", "Beavercreek", "OH", "45440", "", "29", "9372087575", "", "", "5", "1", "50", "0", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "720", "", "175"

The last **accepted** PG record for this provider shows a Provider type of ‘Physician/Osteopath’ with a specialty of ‘General Practice.’ This does not match up with the Nurse Practitioner specialty in the above (submitted) PCP record.

"1", "31510053407", "0139451", "", "1891894408", "35.065595", "20220113", "", "Mark", "E", "Williams", "", "", "", "31530691105", "20", "", "201", ""

Since this provider is a physician, the SL record would need to be corrected to have an appropriate specialty.

Review	Admin	Update	Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
			MARK E WILLIAMS	Physician/Osteopath Individual	269788828	1891894408	Conversion	Unassigned	GENERAL PRACTICE	486273	0139451	ACTIVE	ACTIVE

177 - Specialties: This PCP Location cannot be accepted because one or more MITS Specialties is not valid for the linked MITS Provider Type.

Example:

SL:

"1", "14516812851", "14599842729", "", "", "", "20221007", "20781231", "50 West Broad St, Suite

1330", "", "Columbus", "OH", "43215", "3301", "25", "8607886404", "", "", "4", "1", "0", "1", "3", "0", "", "", "", "", "1", "20", "", "", "", "370 | 720", "", "177"

PG:

"1", "14599842729", "0212099", "", "1376946319", "", "20221007", "20781231", "LISAMARIE", "", "PIETRAGALLO", "", "", "", "14516812851", "72", "", "720"

'370' is the specialty code for a Licensed Independent Social Worker. The linked provider was submitted with provider type 72, Nurse Practitioner-Individual.

Nurse Practitioner is the correct provider type:

			Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
Review	Admin	Update	LISAMARIE PIETRAGALLO	Nurse Practitioner Individual	208561372	1376946319	Conversion	Unassigned	Family Practice	438724	0212099	ACTIVE	ACTIVE

To correct this error, because the location was submitted as a PCP, the MCO would remove '370' from the specialties list in the linked SL file record. "720" is a valid PCP specialty and '72' is a valid PCP provider type.

NOTE: The MCPN will accept **non-PCP** locations with a '632' **warning** when one or more MITS specialties are not valid for the linked MITS Provider Type.

178 – Specialties: This Health Center cannot be accepted because one or more MITS Specialties is not valid for the linked MITS Provider Type.

Example of **rejected** record (from FA file):

"2", "19232000007", "20220201", "", "0098", "1", "0", "", "", "", "", "99", "", "", "", "", "021", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "178"

Specialty code '021' (Professional Medical Group) is not a valid specialty for any type of Health Center.

Example of **accepted** record (from FA file):

"2", "19232000077", "20220201", "", "0947", "1", "0", "", "", "", "", "99", "", "", "", "", "121", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""

Specialty code '121' (FQHC Medical) is a valid specialty for a Health Center.

To correct the error, the MCO would look up HCN #0098 in the Master Health Center file to confirm the provider type, and then submit an appropriate specialty for it.

179 – Tracking Number: A Tracking Number cannot be submitted on the same file with 2 record types.

Example from the FA file:

HCN #0074 was submitted twice on the same file with the same tracking number. The first time was for a Nursing Facility. The second time was for a Hospital. MAXIMUS is unable to determine which facility type is intended and so both records will be rejected.

"3", "19100026931", "20220201", "", "0074", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "860", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "179"

"1", "19100026931", "20220201", "", "0074", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "179"

To correct this error, the MCO would need to determine which type of facility they are intending to submit for this tracking number, since #0074 exists in both the Master Hospital File and the Master Nursing Facility File and keep that record in their submission file. They would delete the other record. (Unless they were trying to submit **both** Hospital #0074 **and** Nursing Facility #0074, in which case they would need to submit the records with two different tracking numbers.

513 – Warning: Hospital Health Center Nursing Facility Number: Hospital Health Center or Nursing Facility is inactive

Example from the FA file:

"3", "32500277723", "20190314", "20220930", "1512", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "860", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "513"

At the time of this submission, the MPN for this facility was not active. The MCO should look up the HCN # for this facility in the master nursing facility file to get the MPN to look it up see if it is still inactive.

In this example, the HCN is not listed, so it must still be inactive.

1510	289982	1538658489	FHS PINE GROVE, INC.	840 SHERMAN STREET
1511	297550	1043719107	OASIS NURSING LLC	850 E MIDLOTHIAN BLVD
1513	214921	1497288542	EP OPCO, LLC	87 STALEY ROAD
1517	201868	1245785310	GARDEN REHAB AND HEALTH CARE AT VICTORIA	920 THURBER DRIVE WEST

This scenario is a warning, not an error, because a facility with an inactive MPN will still be accepted into the MCPN, but the record will have an inactive status. During the nightly status checks (against the PMF), if the MPN is found to be active, then the facility record (FA tracking number) will become active.

514 – Warning: MPN: Not Found in PMF

Example from PG File:

"1", "31510045797", "0094722", "", "1497010227", "30.023901", "20220224", "", "Aaron", "F", "Lerg", "", "", "", "31530706427", "30", "", "300", "514"

		Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
Review	Admin	AARON F LERG	Dentist Individual	386962834	1497010227	Conversion	Unassigned	General Dentistry	526627	0094722	INACTIVE	VOLUNTARY TERM OF PROV AGREE.

"1", "31510077643", "2789595", "", "1073705968", "07413NS", "20191206", "", "Jeri", "K", "Richardson", "", "", "", "", "31530272820", "65", "", "650", "514"

		Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
Review	Admin	JERI K RICHARDSON	Clinical Nurse Specialist Individual	281548670	1073705968	Conversion	Unassigned	Psychiatric	71931	2789595	INACTIVE	LICENSE/CERTIFICATION NOT RENEWED

These records will be accepted into the MCPN, but they will be inactive as long as the MPN is inactive in the PMF.

515 – Warning: PRN: Not Found in PMF

Example from PG File:

"1", "32500340334", "", "0149435", "1225422207", "35.145313", "20220810", "", "Sami", "S", "Judeeba", "1", "", "32500058688", "", "32500343710", "20", "", "247", "515"

Warning code 515 is active but will be superseded by Error code 142 as of 2/1/2023.

142	MPN: Field is required cannot be left blank
-----	---

518 – Warning: Phone Number: Invalid Format. System will treat as NULL.

Example from SL File:

"4", "19234000531", "20220201", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "5575800", "1942248737", "MARYMOUNT HOSPITAL HOUSE PROVIDERS", "12300 MCCracken Rd", "", "GARFIELD HEIGHTS", "OH", "44125", "2914", "18", "", "", "01", "518"

Phone number is not a required field, but it should be submitted for Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities, where possible.

Phone	#(10)	4	No	Only applicable to Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities. Integer only – must be 10 digits.
-------	-------	---	----	--

519 – Warning: Tracking Number: Same Tracking Number and Record Type submitted multiple times on same file

Examples:

Here are some ‘519’s from a Buckeye SL file. There were multiple submissions of the same tracking number on the same file. The first occurrence received the ‘131’ error (Medicaid Location cannot be linked to a MyCare Group Location or Health Center). All four of these records were submitted to the Medicaid program (program code 1)

When a tracking number is submitted multiple times on the same file, one of them does not have to be accepted. In this case, both occurrences were rejected.

"4", "42080050382", "42060113701", "", "42080017019", "1477547503", "20141110", "20221110", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "3308411188", "", "", "1", "0", "", "1", "3", "0", "99", "1", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "339B", "", "131"

"4", "42080050382", "42060008711", "", "42080017019", "1477547503", "20141110", "20221110", "", "", "", "", "", "", "3308411188", "", "", "1", "0", "", "1", "3", "0", "99", "1", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "", "519"

"4", "42080050384", "42060114085", "", "42080013582", "1922033901", "20141110", "20221110", "", "", "", "", "", "", "3308845790", "", "", "1", "0", "", "0", "3", "18", "99", "1", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "365B", "", "131"

"4", "42080050384", "42060011373", "", "42080013582", "1922033901", "20141110", "20221110", "", "", "", "", "", "", "3308845790", "", "", "1", "0", "", "0", "3", "18", "99", "1", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "519"

The group location tracking numbers, 42080017019 and 42080013582 were submitted (it was on a different SL file) and the group locations were submitted to the MyCare program (program code 4, which is why 2 of the above records received the '131' error.

"2", "42080017019", "42060029372", "", "", "1013223312", "20141110", "20210208", "2662 Elm Road", "", "Cortland", "OH", "44410", "", "78", "3308411188", "", "Youngstown Ohio Physician Services Company LLC", "4", "0", "", "0", "3", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "", ""

"2", "42080013582", "42060029372", "", "", "1013223312", "20141110", "20210208", "500 Gypsy Lane", "", "Youngstown", "OH", "44504", "", "50", "3308845790", "", "Youngstown Ohio Physician Services", "4", "0", "", "1", "3", "0", "99", "1", "", "", "", "04|14|16|20|23|25|26|27|30|31|36|37|38|40|54|55|58|59|60|61|62|65|68|70|73|75", "", "", "", "", ""

Here is an example from a Molina PG file: (license # blacked out)

"1", "73170072374", "", "", "1285954867", "35.129487", "20200101", "20220930", "SHANNON", "L", "MURPHY", "2", "", "", "", "20", "", "207", "519"

"1", "73170072374", "0", "", "1285954867", "", "20200101", "20220930", "SHANNON", "M", "SIMON", "2", "", "", "", "20", "", "207", "058"

"1", "73170084939", "", "", "1194814277", "35.136247", "20200101", "20220930", "TOBE", "M", "PROPST", "1", "", "", "", "73180352486", "20", "", "207", "519"

"1", "73170084939", "0", "", "1194814277", "", "20200101", "20220930", "TOBE", "", "PRO PST", "1", "", "", "", "73180352486", "20", "", "207", "058"

The other record(s) got the '058' error (MPN: Invalid Format) because a "0" was submitted in the MPN field instead of a 7-digit number.

Here is an example from a Humana FA file:

This tracking number was submitted 4 times on the same file for 4 difference hospitals. Only one record is accepted, the others are rejected.

```
"1", "19100027861", "20220201", "", "2234", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
"1", "19100027861", "20220201", "", "2233", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
"1", "19100027861", "20220201", "", "0130", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
"1", "19100027861", "20220201", "", "2232", "1", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""  
", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""
```

The '519' is the only code that acts like both a warning and an error. The MCO is warned because they have submitted multiple tracking numbers where one of them may (or may not have) been accepted, yet the others were rejected. Records with the '519' are rejected as duplicate occurrences. The original occurrence could have been accepted— or rejected with a different error code.

604 – Warning: Primary Specialty Tracking Number: No matching Tracking Number

Example from the PG file:

"1", "31510066328", "0227324", "", "1861929465", "370485", "20211106", "", "Charles",
"H", "Ondobo", "", "", "", "", "31530622227", "72", "", "720", "604"

The '604' code is a warning because the primary specialty tracking number is not a required field. To correct this warning, the MCO needs to identify the correct tracking number for this provider's primary location.

608 – Warning: Provider / Group Tracking Number: Provider Span does not overlap

Example from SL file (1/3/2023)

"1", "31530705530", "31510056376", "", "", "1417441429", "20220224", "", "502 Van
Buren
St", "", "FOSTORIA", "OH", "44830", "", "74", "4193348855", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "3", "0",
"99", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "300", "", "608"

Example from PG File (1/3/2023):

This record, while the date span matches the record from the SL file, however this record was rejected.

"1", "31510056376", "0306138", "", "1417441429", "30.025500", "20220224", "", "Andrew",
"M", "McCormick", "", "", "", "31530708440", "30", "", "300", "174"

The '608' warning is being matched against the formerly accepted PG record, which was submitted on 2/24/2022:

"1", "31510056376", "0306138", "", "1417441429", "30.025500", "20190219", "20220215",
"Andrew", "", "McCormick", "", "", "", "30", "", "300", "702"

This record says that the provider has a date span ending on 2/15/2022. But the location span starts on 2/24/2022. This is what the warning is telling the MCO.

To correct the '608' warning on the SL record, the MCO would need to remove the '174' error on the most current PG record, the one that has been rejected.

609 – Warning: Provider / Group Tracking Number: Group Span does not overlap

Example from SL file (12/30/2022)

"2", "31530299495", "31510084194", "", "", "1700145372", "20200520", "20221129", "738
4 Medina Rd Ste
107", "", "Medina", "OH", "44256", "", "52", "3305919635", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "0",
"99", "", "", "", "", "", "021", "0", "609"

PG Record: (submitted 12/26/2022)

"2", "31510084194", "0150872", "", "1700145372", "", "20221220", "", "", "", "Prestige Foot and Ankle Center LLC", "", "", "", "", "", "21", "", "", ""

In this scenario, the group record on the PG file has a start date of 12/20/2022 but the group's location record on the SL file has an end-date of 11/29/2022. If this is the only service location for the group then the group will not be active, even though the PG record was accepted. To remove the '609' warning, the MCO would need to change the end-date on the SL record.

613 – Warning: IsPCP: Groups marked as PCPs (PCCs) require active Providers

Example from SL file:

"2", "73180026687", "73170038028", "", "", "", "20051201", "", "275 W SCHROCK RD", "", "WESTERVILLE", "OH", "43081", "4308", "25", "6143558080", "", "", "5", "1", "25049", "0", "3", "0", "21", "", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "500", "17592", "613"

To correct this error, the MCO would need to submit at least one active provider at the group location. There would need to be a PG record for the individual provider, and an SL record for the provider-at-group location. The SL record type 4 would not be submitted as a PCP location, because the group location is a PCP. However, there may be another issue with this record because the MCO has submitted a Panel PCP count of 17592.

614– Warning: isPCP: Health Centers marked as PCPs require active Providers

Example from the FA file:

"2", "14510012119", "20140101", "20781231", "0663", "4", "1", "0", "1", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "", "20", "", "", "1", "", "121", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "614"

To correct this error, the MCO would need to submit at least one active provider on at his health center location. There would need to be a PG record for the individual provider, and an SL record for the provider-at-health center location. The SL record type 3 would not be submitted as a PCP location, because the health center location is a PCP. (The required health center specialty of 121 has been submitted, and the Panel PCP count is 1.) Because the health center is submitted to the MyCare program only, the SL record would also need to be submitted to program code 4 (MyCare only).

615 – Warning: Hospital Health Center Nursing Facility Number: Hospital span does not overlap

Example from the FA file:

The list of regions in which each of the MCOPs operate is listed below:

MCOP	REGION
Aetna	Central, Northwest, Southwest
Buckeye	Northeast, Northwest, West Central
CareSource	East Central, Northeast, Northeast Central
Molina	Central, Southwest, West Central
United	East Central, Northeast, Northeast Central

617- Warning: isPCP: Health Centers marked as PCPs require PCP Count >0 for Panel Capacity to count.

Examples from FA file:

```
"2", "32500328655", "20220214", "", "0962", "1", "1", "1000", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""
", "", "", "121", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "617"
"2", "32500328656", "20220214", "", "0981", "1", "1", "250", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""
", "", "", "121", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "617"
"2", "32500330955", "20220314", "", "0982", "1", "1", "250", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", ""
", "", "", "121", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "617"
```

The Panel PCP Count has not been submitted in the above records. The field is blank (null). According to the FA File spec, when no PCP count is submitted, a value of 0 will be assumed.

Panel PCP Count	@(6)	2	No	Only applicable to Health Center. Do not submit data in this field for other facility types. If isPCP = 1, submit the number of PCPs practicing at the Health Center. Otherwise leave blank. This value will be reported on the Location Based PCP Capacity Report . If isPCP = 1 and no value is submitted for this field, a value of 0 will be assumed and the MCO will receive a warning message that Health Centers marked as PCPs require PCP Count > 0 for their Panel Capacity to count on the PCH Reports.
-----------------	------	---	----	--

However, when the PCP Count value is 0, then the capacity is not allowed to count on any MCPN reports.

To correct this warning, the MCO should submit valid PCP count values (> 0) for those records.

625 - Warning: isPCP: Group Locations marked as PCPs require PCP Count > 0 for their Panel Capacity to count.

Example from SL File:

```
"2", "73180517908", "73170080796", "", "", "", "20070901", "20221003", "543 TAYLOR
AVE", "3RD
FL", "COLUMBUS", "OH", "43203", "4320", "25", "6146886470", "", "", "5", "1", "0", "1", "3
", "0", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "500", "0", "625"
```

Because this record was submitted with a Panel PCP Count of 0, the Capacity for this PCP will not count, however, since a capacity of 0 was also submitted, in this situation, the warning may not matter.

Panel PCP Count	@(6)	2	No	Applicable for Group Locations only. If IsPCP = 1, submit the number of PCPs practicing at the Group Location. Otherwise leave blank. This value will be reported on the Location Based PCP Capacity Report . If IsPCP = 1 and no value is submitted for this field, a value of 0 will be assumed and the MCO will receive a warning message that Group Locations marked as PCPs require PCP Count > 0 to count as a PCP and have their Panel Capacity to count on the PCH Reports.
-----------------	------	---	----	--

To correct this warning, the MCO should submit valid capacity and PCP count values.

632- Warning: Specialties: One or more specialties at this location is not valid for the linked provider/group's Provider Type(s).

Example from SL File:

"1", "31530434912", "31510133396", "", "", "1043701873", "20210601", "", "1033 Larchwood Rd", "", "Mansfield", "OH", "44907", "", "70", "4197474122", "", "", "5", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "99", "", "", "2", "", "", "", "", "960", "", "632"

Here is the (original) linked PG record:

"1", "31510133396", "0289337", "", "1043701873", "IN:12259666", "20230103", "", "Lillian Skyle", "", "Scheetz", "", "", "", "31530841649", "53", "", "530", ""

To correct this warning, the MCO should submit a valid specialty for the linked provider type.

Upon further research, this MPN has a provider type of 96.

			Provider Name	Provider Type	Tax ID	NPI	PNM Status	Assigned To	Specialty	Reg ID	Medicaid ID	Enroll Status Type	Enrollment Status Reason
Review	Admin	Update	LILLIAN SKYLE SCHEETZ	Behavioral Health Para-Professionals	434959526	1043701873	Conversion	Unassigned	QUALIFIED MH SPECIALIST	382030	0289337	ACTIVE	ACTIVE

The MCO resubmitted the provider with the correct provider type (and specialty). This will resolve the warning.

"1", "31510133396", "0289337", "", "1043701873", "IN:12259666", "20230104", "", "Lillian Skyle", "", "Scheetz", "", "", "", "31530841811", "96", "", "960", ""

Additional Notes:

The '632' warning will only be served on **non-PCP** service location (SL) records. This is because when a location is a PCP, it must have at least one valid PCP specialty that is valid for the linked MITS Provider type, and **all** the other (PCP or non-PCP) specialties at that location must also be valid for the linked MITS Provider type.

However, a **non-PCP** location is **not** required to have a PCP specialty, nor do the specialties submitted at the location have to be valid for the linked MITS Provider type for the record to be accepted into the MCPN. One or more of the specialties may not be valid for the linked provider type, and this is why only a warning is served.

Ideally, all specialties submitted for a location should be valid for the linked provider type and they should match what is listed in the PMF for the linked provider, but currently that level of validation is not required in the MCPN.

701 – Warning: Primary MITS Specialty: Required when Primary Specialty Tracking Number is specified

PG records with a primary specialty tracking number but without a primary specialty will still be accepted into the MCPN, but this condition will prevent those providers from being counted on MCPN reports (because without the primary specialty, the appropriate reporting category/column cannot be determined for this provider).

Example from PG file:

"1", "14543379038", "0265203", "", "1528586385", "S1700092", "20180701", "20781231", "VY THUY", "", "DO", "2", "", "", "", "14516761463", "37", "", "", "701"

Primary Specialty Tracking Number	#(11)	1	C	Required if Primary MITS Specialty is submitted. Use Tracking Number from SL file to show which Service Location has the Individual Provider's primary specialty listed. This will be used to report to ODM which County this Practitioner will count towards.
Primary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	Yes	This is the Primary MITS Provider Type. Field is Required. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type codes). PG records not submitted with the MITS Provider Type will be rejected with an error.
Secondary MITS Provider Type	@(2)	1,2	No	Secondary MITS Provider Type. Field is optional, but if submitted, it must be a valid MITS Provider Type code. (See Appendices for list of valid MITS Provider Type Codes.) PG Records submitted with an invalid MITS Provider Type Code in this field will be rejected with an error.
Primary MITS Specialty	@(3)	1	No	Primary MITS Specialty of this individual provider. Submit code if specialty is "reportable" on Practitioner reports. The submitted code must be a valid MITS specialty that is appropriate for the submitted Primary MITS Provider Type. The MITS code will also be crosswalked to a corresponding MCPN code for reporting purposes, as the Practitioner reports are still based on MCPN codes. (Refer to the Appendices for lists of valid MITS codes, "reportable" MCPN specialties and categories, valid MITS codes for each provider type, as well as error messages and warnings.)

To correct this warning, the MCO could look up the SL tracking number that is listed as the primary specialty location to find a specialty listed at this location, and then resubmit the PG record with that specialty.

"4", "14516761463", "14543379038", "", "14516766654", "", "20180701", "20781231", "", "", "", "", "", "5139849838", "", "", "4", "0", "", "", "3", "0", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "370", "", ""

Here is the corrected PG record:

"1", "14543379038", "0265203", "", "1528586385", "S1700092", "20180701", "20781231", "VY THUY", "", "DO", "2", "", "", "", "14516761463", "37", "", "370"

702 – Warning: Primary Specialty Tracking Number: Required when Primary MITS Specialty is specified

PG records with a primary specialty but without the primary specialty tracking number will still be accepted into the MCPN, but this condition will prevent those providers from being counted on MCPN reports (because without the primary location, the county and region for this primary specialty cannot be determined).

Here is an example – on the PG file:

```
"1", "19211000001", "0101345", "", "1629157490", "P.5393", "20220201", "", "EVELYN", "
", "ABRAMSON", "2", "", "", "", "", "42", "", "420", "702"
```

On the SL file:

```
"1", "19221000001", "19211000001", "", "", "1629157490", "20220201", "", "40722 STATE
ROUTE
154", "", "LISBON", "OH", "44432", "8500", "15", "3304240877", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "2
", "00", "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "420", "", ""
```

If that location record is the primary location where this provider should be counted on a report, then the SL tracking number (highlighted in yellow) will need to be entered in the primary location tracking number field in the PG.

Here is another example:

PG:

```
"1", "19211000003", "0244086", "", "1235528993", "I.1700582", "20220201", "", "STACEY
", "", "ASH", "2", "", "", "", "", "37", "", "370", "702"
```

SL:

```
"1", "19221000003", "19211000003", "", "", "1235528993", "20220201", "", "15613
PINEVIEW DR STE A", "", "EAST
LIVERPOOL", "OH", "43920", "9096", "15", "3304240877", "", "", "1", "0", "", "", "2", "00"
, "99", "", "", "", "", "", "", "", "370 | 995", "", ""
```

Since the providers and locations have been accepted, they should still appear in the network, but they will not be counted on MCPN reports.

705 – Primary Specialty Tracking Number: Primary MITS Specialty not available at this location

Example from PG file:

"1", "76140004581", "0757426", "", "1205806304", "34.004585", "20130101", "", "EDWARD", "G", "MYERS", "1", "", "76150001732|76150001820|76150002328", "", "76160054044", "20", "", "207", "705"

To correct this warning, the MCO would first look up the SL tracking number listed as the primary location, and see what specialty is listed there.

This is the last time the tracking number was accepted into the MCPN.

"1", "76160054044", "76140004581", "", "", "1205806304", "20130101", "", "2581 NORTH RD NE", "", "WARREN", "OH", "44483", "", "78", "3303725200", "", "", "5", "1", "2000", "0", "", "", "", "", "", "1", "", "", "", "", "201", "", ""

Upon review, '207' is not listed at this location. If it should be the primary specialty, then the MCO would need to add that specialty to the location, "201/207"